This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

OF COMMERC

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/351,160	07/12/1999	MASAAKI NISHIJIMA	0819-261	9367	
7590 10/14/2003			EXAMINER		
GERALD J FERGUSON JR			OWENS, DOUGLAS W		
SIXBEY FRIEI	OMAN LEEDOM & FER	GUSON P C	1221212	DARED MINARED	
8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 800			2811 ,		
MCI FAN VA	22102				

DATE MAILED: 10/14/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. " "		A-mliasti N-		A 1:4/->	- ii 				
		Application No.		Applicant(s)	P				
•	Office Asking Commence	09/351,160		NISHIJIMA, MASA	AKI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Douglas W Ower	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2811					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vere to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory min vill apply and will expire , cause the application to	over, may a reply be time imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	wily filed will be considered timely. ne mailing date of this cor (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11.5	September 2003 .							
2a)□	<u> </u>	is action is non-fi							
3)									
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4) 🛛	Claim(s) 23-27,29,32 and 34-40 is/are pending	g in the application	on.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consider	ation.						
5)⊠	Claim(s) 23-27,29,32 and 35-40 is/are allowed								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>34</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🗌)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ment.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>12 July 1999</u> is/are: a)[∃ accepted or b)⊠	objected to by the	e Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∐ approve	ed b)⊡ disappro\	ed by the Examine	r.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	oly to this Office ac	tion.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	ived.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	ived in Applicatio	n No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		•		application).				
a	The translation of the foreign language pro Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	visional applicati	on has been rece	eived.					
، ∟ے(دا Attachmen	-	io priority unuer s	.5 5.5.5. 33 120	ana/01 121.					
1) 🔯 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic	ee of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4) 5) 6)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTC					

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on September 11, 2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US patent No. 6,046,503 to Weigand et al.

Weigand et al. teaches a semiconductor device (Fig. 1G), comprising:

- a conductor layer (35, 18; Fig. 1F) on a semiconductor substrate;
- a dielectric film (24') on the conductor layer;
- a conductor line (40a) on the dielectric film; wherein
- the conductor layer is not formed in a region directly below the conductor line but in both sides of said region; and

Application/Control Number: 09/351,160

Art Unit: 2811

the dielectric film consists of two layers with different dielectric constants (Col. 1, lines 57 – 65; Col. 4, line 59 – Col. 5, line 2).

Weigand et al. further teaches that the device may be used in a plurality of chips, such as, computer systems, cellular phone and PDAs (Col. 3, lines 36 – 40). Weigand et al. does not explicitly teach that the conductor layer is grounded and the conductor line transmits an RF signal. Chips employed in electronic devices like the exemplary ones suggested by Weigand et al., require a ground potential to produce a functional device. Without providing ground potential, the circuit would have been floating. Additionally, devices such as cellular phones must transmit an RF signal. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide ground potential to the substrate, and consequently to the conductor layer, since it is desirable to produce a functional device. Additionally, claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function. *In re Danly*, 263 F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959). "[A]pparatus claims cover what a device is, not what a device does." *Hewlett- Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc.*, 909 F.2d 1464, 1469, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 23-27, 29, 32 and 35-40 are allowed.
- 5. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the most closely related art, US patent No. 6,046,503 to Weigand et al. teaches a teaches a semiconductor device, comprising a conductor layer, a dielectric film that is a composite of two layers, and a conductor line. Weigand et al. does not teach a

,

Art Unit: 2811

composite dielectric film comprising a first dielectric film and a second dielectric film on the side portions of the first dielectric film wherein the first and second dielectric films have different dielectric constants. Nor does Weigand et al. teach a composite dielectric film wherein one of the dielectric films comprising the composite film has a dielectric constant larger than 10.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas W Owens whose telephone number is 703-308-6167. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 703-308-2772. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

DWO

TOM THOMAS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800